	Case 2:21-cv-01248-DJC-AC Documen	t 33 Filed 06/07/23 Page 1 of 2
1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
9	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	LEE E. HAGGERTY,	No. 2:21-cv-1248 DJC AC P
12	Plaintiff,	
13	v.	<u>ORDER</u>
14	JEFF LYNCH, et al.,	
15	Defendants.	
16		
17	Plaintiff has requested the appointment of counsel. ECF No. 32. The United States	
18	Supreme Court has ruled that district courts lack authority to require counsel to represent indigent	
19	prisoners in § 1983 cases. Mallard v. United States Dist. Court, 490 U.S. 296, 298 (1989). In	
20	certain exceptional circumstances, the district court may request the voluntary assistance of	
21	counsel pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1915(e)(1). <u>Terrell v. Brewer</u> , 935 F.2d 1015, 1017 (9th Cir.	
22	1991); Wood v. Housewright, 900 F.2d 1332, 1335-36 (9th Cir. 1990).	
23	"When determining whether 'exceptional circumstances' exist, a court must consider 'the	
24	likelihood of success on the merits as well as the ability of the [plaintiff] to articulate his claims	
25	pro se in light of the complexity of the legal issues involved." Palmer v. Valdez, 560 F.3d 965,	
26	970 (9th Cir. 2009) (quoting Weygandt v. Look, 718 F.2d 952, 954 (9th Cir. 1983)). The burden	
27	of demonstrating exceptional circumstances is on the plaintiff. <u>Id.</u> Circumstances common to	
28	most prisoners, such as lack of legal education and limited law library access, do not establish	
		1

Case 2:21-cv-01248-DJC-AC Document 33 Filed 06/07/23 Page 2 of 2

exceptional circumstances that would warrant a request for voluntary assistance of counsel.

Plaintiff requests appointment of counsel on the grounds that his complaint was drafted by another inmate and he is ignorant of the law and illiterate. ECF No. 32 at 3. However, these circumstances are common to many prisoners and do not establish the existence of extraordinary circumstances. Furthermore, this case is currently stayed pending the settlement conference scheduled for June 28, 2023, and there is no indication that plaintiff is unable to represent himself at the settlement conference. For these reasons, the motion for appointment of counsel will be denied.

Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that plaintiff's motion for the appointment of counsel (ECF No. 32) is DENIED.

DATED: June 6, 2023

allison Claire

UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE